

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY POCKETNIC	
10/621,563		07/18/2003	Andrew S. Janczak	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 6024	CONFIRMATION NO.
6858	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
BREINER & BREINER 115 NORTH HENRY STREET				ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
P. O. BOX		22214		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXAND	KIA, VA	22314		1761	
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(/h
Office Anti Comme	10/621,563	JANCZAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12 October 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merit	te ie
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	(3 i3
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicat	tion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	diawn iioin consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,17-25,28 and 29</u> is/are rejecte	74		
7) Claim(s) <u>5-16, 26 and 27</u> is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) by objected to by	/ the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	э. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	:1(d).
	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received in App	olication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage	
application from the international Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)):		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>—</b>		
2) Wotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	lmary (PTO-413) fail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	(98) 5) Notice of Information (6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Palent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 17, 21, 23, 24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burns.

There is disclosed in Burns a magnetic device, comprising: two semi-cylindrical halves 14, 16, each having a fastening end and a grasping end; a spring mechanism 18 connecting the halves; a plurality of magnets 40 arranged in the semi-cylindrical halves; a cushioning layer 24, 26 on an inner surface; and two tabs formed on each of the halves, the tabs being formed by semi-circular cut-outs formed in the halves.

In regards to the use of the device to magnetically treat beverages (claim 1) and to be fastened to a neck of a bottle (claim 17), such use is intended only and provides no structural limitations.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 18-20, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns.

The arrangement of the magnets into three columns is not shown in Burns. Burns discloses a single column of magnets. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form three columns of magnets, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-16, 26 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Burns fails to disclose a semi-cylindrical half having a grasping end for opening and closing the device. Burns as discussed in the rejection above discloses a grasping end of a semi-cylindrical half. The actual function of opening and closing the device is subject to user preference. If the user so chooses to opening the device by the grasping end of the semi-cylindrical half, such a function can be achieved.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1761

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla November 29, 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761